Probing dark matter and primordial black hole signals during Epoch of Reionization

Yin-Zhe Ma

University of KwaZulu-Natal, South Africa

(Sep/2023) Stellenbosch University, South Africa











- Constraints on decaying dark matter
- Constraints on Primordial black holes
- (Prospective) Constraints on warm dark matter

(1) Heating IGM (also affecting 21-cm transition from absorption to emission)

(2) Spatial fluctuation

J. Cang, X. Chen, S. Clark, B. Dutta, Y. Gao, M. Liu, A. Mesinger, K. Sigurdson, M. Sitwell, L. Strigari, Y. Xu, B. Yue, Z. Zhang

Constraints on decaying dark matter

- Constraints on Primordial black holes
- (Prospective) Constraints on warm dark matter

Sitwell, Mesinger, YZM, Sigurdson, 2013, MNRAS Clark, Dutta, Gao, YZM, Strigari, 2018, Phys. Rev. D Cang, Gao, YZM, 2020, Phys. Rev. D. Cang, Gao, YZM, 2021, JCAP Zhang, Yue, Xu, YZM, Chen, Liu, 2023, Phys. Rev. D Cang, YZM, Gao, 2023, ApJ



EDGES Results 2018, J. Bowman et al., 2018 Nature

 $\nu = 78 \pm 1 \text{ MHz}$ $T = -0.5^{+0.2}_{-0.5}$ K

Time after the big bang in millions of years



• DM
$$\rightarrow \gamma \gamma$$

• DM $\rightarrow e^+ e^-$
• DM $\rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-$
• DM $\rightarrow b \overline{b}$

$$f_c(m_{\rm DM}, z) = \frac{\sum_s \int f_c(E, z, s) E(dN/dE)_s dE}{\sum_s \int E(dN/dE)_s dE}$$

$$I_{X_i}(z) = \frac{f_i(E,z)}{n_H(z)E_i} \frac{dE}{dVdt},$$

$$I_{\mathbf{X}_{\alpha}}(z) = (1-C) \frac{f_{\alpha}(E,z)}{n_{\mathbf{H}}(z)E_{\alpha}} \frac{\mathrm{d}E}{\mathrm{d}V\mathrm{d}t},$$

$$K_{\rm h}(z) = \frac{f_{\rm h}(E,z)}{n_{\rm H}(z)} \frac{\mathrm{d}E}{\mathrm{d}V\mathrm{d}t}$$

$$\left(\frac{\mathrm{d}E}{\mathrm{d}V\mathrm{d}t}\right)_{\mathrm{INJ}}^{\mathrm{dec}} = \Gamma_{\chi}\Omega_{\chi}(1+z)^{3}\rho_{\mathrm{c}},$$

$$\left(\frac{\mathrm{d}E}{\mathrm{d}V\mathrm{d}t}\right)_{\mathrm{INJ}}^{\mathrm{ann}} = \frac{\langle\sigma v\rangle}{m_{\chi}}\Omega_{\chi}^{2}(1+z)^{6}\rho_{\mathrm{c}}^{2}$$

$$C = \frac{1 + K\Lambda_{2s,1s}n_{\rm H}(1 + x_{\rm e})}{1 + K\Lambda_{2s,1s}n_{\rm H}(1 - x_{\rm e}) + K\beta_{\rm B}n_{\rm H}(1 - x_{\rm e})}$$



Ζ







- Constraints on decaying dark matter
- Constraints on Primordial black holes
- (Prospective) Constraints on warm dark matter

Sitwell, Mesinger, YZM, Sigurdson, 2013, MNRAS Clark, Dutta, Gao, YZM, Strigari, 2018, Phys. Rev. D Cang, Gao, YZM, 2020, Phys. Rev. D. Cang, Gao, YZM, 2021, JCAP Zhang, Yue, Xu, YZM, Chen, Liu, 2023, Phys. Rev. D Cang, YZM, Gao, 2023, ApJ



$$\dot{M}_{\rm BH} = -5.34 \times 10^{25} \left(\sum_{i} \phi_{i}\right) M_{\rm BH}^{-2} \text{ g}^{3} \text{s}^{-1}$$
$$\frac{\mathrm{d}E}{\mathrm{d}V\mathrm{d}t} = \sum_{i=\gamma,e^{\pm}} \phi_{i} \cdot \frac{\dot{M}_{\rm BH}}{M_{\rm BH}} \rho_{\rm c,0} \Omega_{\rm BH} (1+z)^{3}$$



- Constraints on decaying dark matter
- Constraints on Primordial black holes
- (Prospective) Constraints on warm dark matter

Sitwell, Mesinger, YZM, Sigurdson, 2013, MNRAS Clark, Dutta, Gao, YZM, Strigari, 2018, Phys. Rev. D Cang, Gao, YZM, 2020, Phys. Rev. D. Cang, Gao, YZM, 2021, JCAP Zhang, Yue, Xu, YZM, Chen, Liu, 2023, Phys. Rev. D

Cang, YZM, Gao, 2023, ApJ

Global 21cm experiments

LEDA 30 – 88 MHz Owens Valley SARAS (2) 87.5 – 175 MHz Gauribidanur Obs., India BIGHORNS 50 – 200 MHz Western



ALBATROS 2—80 MHz





EDGES 50 – 100, 100 – 200 MHz Murchison Radio Obs. **DARE** 40 – 120 MHz Dark side of the





Hyperion





MWA

LOFAR

SKA-LOW



What is the current constraint on warm dark matter mass from IGM?

 Lyman alpha forest: (Viel+ 2006; 2008; Irsic+ 2017; Baur+ 2017; Enzi+, 2021):

$m_X > 1 - 3 \text{ keV}$

 Reionization occurring by z~6 (Barkana+2011; Lopez-Honorez et al. 2017):

 $m_X > 1 \text{ keV}$

• Reproducing stellar mass function and Tully-Fisher relation: (Kang+2013):

 $m_X > 0.75 \text{ keV}$

Dark matter effect on collapse fraction



 $(M_{\rm min}{\sim}10^7{\rm M_{\odot}})$





Figure 3. Mean 21-cm brightness temperature $\delta \bar{T}_b$ (a) and its derivative with respect to redshift (b). In all plots, the solid curve is the fiducial CDM model. The upper plots show the results of WDM runs where the dashed, dot–dashed and dotted curves are for $m_X = 2$, 3, 4 keV, respectively. The lower plots show CDM runs where the dashed and dotted curves are for CDM models with $f_*/f_{*fid} = 0.03, 0.1, 0.5$, respectively.

CDM

Sitwell, Mesinger, YZM, Sigurdson, 2014, MNRAS Cang, Gao, **YZM**, 2020, Phys. Rev. D. Cang, Gao, **YZM**, 2021, JCAP



For $m_X > 5$ keV, star formation efficiency is degenerated with WDM. For low mass, the two are not degenerated.

This is observable by the high-redshift measurement such as square kilometer array.

Forecast: comparing with future observations



- In light of EDGES-like detection of global 21-cm measurement, we reach:
- $\circ \tau_{DM} > 10^{26}$ s for DM decays into $\gamma\gamma$, $\mu^+\mu^-$, $b\overline{b}$; and $\tau_{DM} > 10^{27}$ s for DM decays into e^+e^- , stronger than Planck results.
- PBH Hawking radiation can produce radiation as an energy injection into IGM. The EDGES constraint for PBH abundance is stronger than Planck.
- WDM has a particular feature of power spectrum comparing to CDM. The future MWA, HERA and SKA is sensitive to the warm dark matter with mass in the regime of 1—10 keV through power spectrum and global signal.